

FOIAb2
FOIAb3x
FOIAb5
OGC Has Denied Release

Page Denied

Next 11 Page(s) In Document Denied

Used with review of Risk of Cap 13 Mdy 1981

STAT

SOURCE:

Paragraph 9. CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA

C. INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES, SOURCES OR METHODS

- (3) Information not officially released that could disclose the organizational structure of the CIA; the numbers and assignments of CIA personnel; the size and composition of the CIA budget, including internal and external funding; logistical and associated support activities and services; security procedures, techniques, and activities including those applicable to the fields of communications and data processing; or other quantitative or qualitative data that could reveal or indicate the nature, objectives, requirements, priorities, scope or ~~thrust~~ of Agency activities, including the missions, functions, and locations of certain CIA components or installations.
- (7) Information pertaining to intelligence-related methodologies, techniques, formulae, equipment, programs or models, including computer simulations, ranging from initial requirements through planning, source acquisition, contract initiation, research, design, and testing to production, personnel training, and operational use.

What does State do to protect Embassy security procedures, etc.?

State classifies physical security type regulations, procedures, etc. on the basis of EO 12065 Section 1-301(c). They believe that counterintelligence is a ~~part~~ part of intelligence, and that physical security is a part of counterintelligence. State also considers whether the material is classified or not they will withhold it under paragraph (b)(2) of the FOIA Act which reads: Related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency. (This section permits the Agency to exempt from disclosure material which pertains solely to such rules or practices and ~~which~~ which does not affect

TO : Chief,CRD

STAT

During the 3 April meeting you mentioned a many splendered conundrum re the PRB process and asked for suggestions,phrases,citations,solutions etc that might serve to ameliorate the ~~bureaucratic~~ ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ tangle and perhaps loosen the dead hand of the law.You may wish to consider the following as a standard DDA response in the case of both manuscript and FOIA review ~~XXXXXX~~ when ever matters appear that deserve continued protection either from the DDA or DO standpoint.I don't know if the phrase "without reference to etc" will pass muster from a legal angle but it does ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ narrow the periphery of review exclusively to those matters that are of concern to the DDA even though,as you mentioned privately,most-if not all-of the objections cited by reviewers have to do with matters that impinge directly on DO equities.

Quite obviously this statement applies to those instances when we wish to continue protection.When this is not the case,the statement will have to be altered slightly;

" Based solely on those criteria that govern the DDA area of primary interest and competence and,without reference to materials already available as the result of executive disclosure,it is the finding of the DDA that the materials reviewed contain items of information that remain classified and are deserving of continued protection under existing statutes".

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

PRB Meetings

FROM:

Director of Information Services
1206 Ames

EXTENSION

NO.

OIS 81-294

DATE

25 MAR 1981

STAT
STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/CRD

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.


14.

15.

Don:

Each time I attend a PRB meeting, I become more and more concerned with the looseness with which the process is handled, and I have determined to be much better prepared both factually and philosophically for future meetings. Therefore, I would appreciate it if your reviewers keep this in mind and make an extra effort to bring me up to speed on any manuscripts that will come before the Board at its weekly meetings. The Board is scheduled to meet every Tuesday at 10 a.m., although many meetings are cancelled. Could you, each Monday, call OPA and ascertain what manuscripts will be discussed the following day (in particular, what parts of the manuscripts), and with this in hand ensure that I meet with you or some of your people to go over the facts and reasons for withholding the information, whether it's DDA material or not.

If you need any further information on this, give me a call.


Thomas H. White

STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Suggested statement for review

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

8 April 1981

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

George

8 Apr 81

JB

2.

Stan

9 Apr 81

SL

3.

~~C/CRD~~

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Stan, George - Don has enough paper to shuffle, thus, if you feel this is way out of whack or totally lacking in value, pls don't hesitate to consign this to the dust bin of history.

Will have in mind.

FORM 3-62

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS



SECRET



CONFIDENTIAL



INTERNAL USE ONLY



UNCLASSIFIED